UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

#### NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

77407

7590

03/17/2008

Novak Druce & Quigg LLP 1300 I Street NW Suite 1000 West Tower Washington, DC 20005 EXAMINER

LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2139

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2008

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/621,408      | 07/18/2003  | John Michael Edison  | 21348               | 2820             |

TITLE OF INVENTION: VENDOR SECURITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

| APPLN. TYPE    | SMALL ENTITY | ISSUE FEE DUE | PUBLICATION FEE DUE | PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE | TOTAL FEE(S) DUE | DATE DUE   |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|
| nonprovisional | YES          | \$720         | \$300               | \$0                  | \$1020           | 06/17/2008 |

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

#### HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

#### PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

### Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or Fax (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where ar in m

| naintenance fee notifica   | correspondence including ed below or directed oth tions. ENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Bloom of the control of the co | Not<br>Fee<br>pap  | e: A certificate of (s) Transmittal. Thi   | mailing<br>s certifi  | can only be used for<br>cate cannot be used fo<br>such as an assignmen | correspondence address as ate "FEE ADDRESS" for domestic mailings of the any other accompanying t or formal drawing, must |   |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Novak Druce & 1300 I Street NV Suite 1000 West   | V<br>Tower   | /2008  | I he<br>Sta<br>add   | Cert<br>creby certify that thit<br>tes Postal Service w<br>ressed to the Mail   | ificate<br>is Fee(s)<br>ith suff<br>Stop I                             | of Mailing or Transn  | deposited with the United<br>class mail in an envelope<br>above, or being facsimile                                   |
| Washington, DC   | 20005  |  |  |   |  |   | (Depositor's name)  |
|  |  |  |  |   |  |   | (Signature)   |
|  |  |  |  |   |  |   | (Date)  |
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE  |  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | 2   | ATTOR  | NEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO.  |
| 10/621,408   | 07/18/2003   |  | John Michael Edison  |   |  | 21348   | 2820  |
| APPLN. TYPE  | SMALL ENTITY   | MANAGEMENT SYST  | PUBLICATION FEE DUE  | PREV. PAID ISSUE  | FEE T  | TOTAL FEE(S) DUE  | DATE DUE  |
| nonprovisional   | YES  | \$720  | \$300  | \$0   | TEE  | \$1020  | 06/17/2008  |
| •  |  |  | ·<br>T   | ₃⊍<br><b>1</b>  |  | \$1020  | 00/1//2008  |
| EXAM   |  | ART UNIT   | CLASS-SUBCLASS   | J   |  |   |   |
| LAFORGIA, C  | CHRISTIAN A  ence address or indication  | 2139   | 726-026000   |   |  |   |   |
| "Fee Address" ind: PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-0 Number is required.  ASSIGNEE NAME A PLEASE NOTE: Unl               | ND RESIDENCE DATA<br>less an assignee is identi<br>h in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp  | 'Indication form<br>ed. Use of a Customer<br>A TO BE PRINTED ON T  | (1) the names of up to or agents OR, alternatic (2) the name of a sing registered attorney or 2 registered patent attor listed, no name will be THE PATENT (print or ty data will appear on the part of the part o | vely,  le firm (having as a agent) and the name orneys or agents. If a printed.  pe)  pe)  patent. If an assigner assignment. | membe<br>es of up<br>no name   | r a 2to be is 3entified below, the do   | cument has been filed for   |
| lease check the appropr  | iate assignee category or  | categories (will not be pr   | rinted on the patent):   | Individual 🖵 Co   | rporatio   | on or other private grou  | up entity Government  |
|  | are submitted:<br>To small entity discount p<br># of Copies  | <ul> <li>4b. Payment of Fee(s): (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above)</li> <li>A check is enclosed.</li> <li>□ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.</li> <li>□ The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number (enclose an extra copy of this form).</li> </ul> |  |   |  |   |   |
| a. Applicant claim   | <b>tus</b> (from status indicated<br>s SMALL ENTITY statu  | is. See 37 CFR 1.27.   | ☐ b. Applicant is no lor   | ger claiming SMAL   | L ENT  | ITY status. See 37 CF   |   |
| OTE: The Issue Fee and terest as shown by the i  | d Publication Fee (if requecords of the United Sta   | uired) will not be accepted<br>tes Patent and Trademark  | d from anyone other than Office.   | the applicant; a regi   | stered at  | ttorney or agent; or the  | e assignee or other party in  |
| Authorized Signature   |  |  |  | Date  |  |   |   |
| Typed or printed name  |  |  |  |   |  |   |   |
| his collection of inform<br>n application. Confident<br>ubmitting the completed<br>is form and/or suggesti | nation is required by 37 C<br>tiality is governed by 35<br>d application form to the<br>lons for reducing this bu  | FR 1.311. The informatic<br>U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR<br>USPTO. Time will vary<br>rden, should be sent to the  | on is required to obtain or<br>1.14. This collection is es<br>depending upon the indi-<br>e Chief Information Offic  | retain a benefit by the<br>timated to take 12 n<br>vidual case. Any co<br>er, U.S. Patent and                                 | ne publi<br>ninutes<br>mments<br>Tradem                                | c which is to file (and<br>to complete, including<br>on the amount of tim<br>ark Office, U.S. Depar                       | by the USPTO to process)<br>g gathering, preparing, and<br>the you require to complete<br>extrement of Commerce, P.O. |

Tl submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any commence of the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any commence of the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any commence of the commence of the



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/621,408 07/18/2003       |  | John Michael Edison  | 21348 2820              |                  |  |
| 77407 7590 03/17/2008       |  | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| Novak Druce & Quigg LLP     |  |                      | LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A   |                  |  |
| 1300 I Street NW            |  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Suite 1000 West Tower       |  |                      | 2139                    |                  |  |
| Washington, DC 20005        |  |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/17/2008 |                  |  |

## Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 829 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 829 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

| (2) Peter N. Lalos (Reg. No. 19.789).  (3)   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|---|--|
| All Participants:  (1) Christian LaForgia  (2) Peter N. Lalos (Reg. No. 19.789)  (4)   | Fxaminer-Initiated Interview Summary  | 10/621,408  | EDISON ET AL.  |
| All Participants:  (1) Christian LaForgia. (2) Peter N. Lalos (Reg. No. 19.789). (3)  (2) Peter N. Lalos (Reg. No. 19.789). (4)  Date of Interview: 6 March 2008   | Examiner initiated interview Califficary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
| (2) Peter N. Lalos (Reg. No. 19.789).  (3)   |   | Christian LaForgia  | 2139   |
| (2) Peter N. Lalos (Reg. No. 19,789).  (4)   | All Participants:   | Status of Application:  | _  |
| Type of Interview:    Telephonic   | (1) <u>Christian LaForgia</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  | (2) <u>Peter N. Lalos (Reg. No. 19,789)</u> .   | (4)   |  |
| Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)   | Date of Interview: 6 March 2008   | Time:   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A  Claims discussed: 8-17  Prior art documents discussed:  N/A  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner proposed cancelling claims 8-17 and allowing Claims 1-7 and 18. Mr. Lalos agreed to the Examiner's amendment after discussing with his client.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  **Christian LaForgia*/**Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139  | ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  | cant's representative)  |  |
| Claims discussed: 8-17  Prior art documents discussed: N/A  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed cancelling claims 8-17 and allowing Claims 1-7 and 18. Mr. Lalos agreed to the Examiner's amendment after discussing with his client.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | Part I.   |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:  N/A  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner proposed cancelling claims 8-17 and allowing Claims 1-7 and 18. Mr. Lalos agreed to the Examiner's amendment after discussing with his client.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  **Christian LaForgia*/**Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139  | Rejection(s) discussed: N/A   |   |  |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner proposed cancelling claims 8-17 and allowing Claims 1-7 and 18. Mr. Lalos agreed to the Examiner's amendment after discussing with his client.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  *Christian LaForgia/**  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139   | Claims discussed:<br>8-17   |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner proposed cancelling claims 8-17 and allowing Claims 1-7 and 18. Mr. Lalos agreed to the Examiner's amendment after discussing with his client.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Christian LaForgia/Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139  | Prior art documents discussed: N/A  |   |  |
| The Examiner proposed cancelling claims 8-17 and allowing Claims 1-7 and 18. Mr. Lalos agreed to the Examiner's amendment after discussing with his client.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Christian LaForgia/Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139   | Part II.  |   |  |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  (Christian LaForgia/Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139)   |   |   |  |
| directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  //Christian LaForgia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139  | Part III.   |   |  |
| Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139  | directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate | ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139  |   |   |  |
| Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139  |   |   |  |
|  | /Christian LaForgia/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139   | Applicant/Applicant's Representat                                 | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |